

“Online FTZ Information System” section of the Board’s website.

For further information, contact Kolade Osho at Kolade.Osho@trade.gov.

Dated: August 14, 2024.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024–18515 Filed 8–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–122–858]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and exporters of certain softwood lumber products (softwood lumber) from Canada received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022.

DATES: Applicable August 19, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Samuel Brummitt or Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7851 or (202) 482–4793, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 6, 2024, Commerce published the preliminary results of this administrative review in the **Federal**

Register and invited interested parties to comment.¹ On April 25, 2024, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing the final results of this review until August 2, 2024, which was tolled by seven days until August 9, 2024.² On August 7, 2024, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results of this review until August 12, 2024.³

For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order⁵

The product covered by this *Order* is certain softwood lumber products from Canada. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁶

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

The subsidy programs under review, and the issues raised in case and rebuttal briefs submitted by the interested parties, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. Based on our analysis of the comments received from the interested parties, we made changes to the subsidy rates calculated for certain respondents. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Selected Companies Under Review

Because the rates calculated for the companies selected for individual review are above *de minimis* and not based entirely on facts available, we applied a subsidy rate based on a weighted average of the subsidy rates calculated for the reviewed companies using sales data submitted by those companies to calculate a rate for the companies not selected for review. This is consistent with the methodology that we would use in an investigation to establish the all-others rate, pursuant to section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act.

For further information on the calculation of the non-selected rate, see the section titled “Final *Ad Valorem* Rate for Non-Selected Companies under Review” in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of the non-selected companies, see Appendix II to this notice.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) and of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we determine that the following total net countervailable subsidy rates exist for the period January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Canfor Corporation and its cross-owned affiliates ⁷	6.14
J.D. Irving, Limited and its cross-owned affiliates ⁸	3.88
Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd. and Tolko Industries Ltd. ⁹	9.61
West Fraser Mills Ltd. and its cross-owned affiliates ¹⁰	6.85
Non-Selected Companies ¹¹	6.74

¹ See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2022*, 89 FR 8147 (February 6, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2022,” dated April 25, 2024; see also Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

³ See Memorandum, “Second Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2022,” dated August 7, 2024.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada; 2022,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order*, 83 FR 347 (January 3, 2018) (*Order*).

⁶ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a). If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for the companies subject to this review for shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all non-reviewed companies, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposits,

⁷ Commerce finds the following companies to be cross-owned with Canfor Corporation: Canadian Forest Products; Ltd. and Canfor Wood Products Marketing, Ltd.

⁸ Commerce finds the following companies to be cross-owned with J.D. Irving, Limited: Miramichi Timber Holdings Limited; The New Brunswick Railway Company; Rothesay Paper Holdings Ltd.; and St. George Pulp & Paper Limited.

⁹ Commerce finds Meadow Lake OSB Mill Corp. and several holding companies, the identities of which are business proprietary information, to be cross-owned with Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd. and Tolko Industries Ltd.

¹⁰ Commerce finds the following companies to be cross-owned with West Fraser Mills Ltd.: Blue Ridge Lumber Inc.; Manning Forest Products, Ltd.; Sundre Forest Products Inc.; Sunpine Inc.; and West Fraser Alberta Holdings, Ltd.; and West Fraser Timber Co., Ltd.

¹¹ For a list of these companies, see Appendix II.

when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: August 12, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Subsidies Valuation
- V. Analysis of Programs
- VI. Final *Ad Valorem* Rate for Non-Selected Companies Under Review
- VII. Analysis of Comments
 - A. General Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Have Deferred the New Subsidy Allegations
 - Comment 2: Whether Respondent Selection Was Proper
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Consider Climate Change Goals
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce's Specificity Analysis Is Consistent with the Law
 - B. General Stumpage Issues
 - Comment 5: Whether Stumpage Is an Untied Subsidy
 - Comment 6: Whether to Compare Government Transaction-Specific Prices to an Average Benchmark Price or Offset the Less Than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR) Benefit Using Negative Benefits
 - C. Alberta Stumpage Issues
 - Comment 7: Whether the Alberta Stumpage Market Is Distorted
 - Comment 8: Whether Private Standing Timber Prices in Nova Scotia Are Available in Alberta
 - Comment 9: Whether the Tree Size in Nova Scotia, as Measured by Diameter, Is Comparable to Tree Size in Alberta
 - Comment 10: Whether Spruce-Pine-Fir (SPF) Species in Nova Scotia Are Comparable to SPF Species in Alberta

- Comment 11: Whether the Nova Scotia Benchmark Is Comparable or Should Be Adjusted to Account for Log Product Characteristics
- Comment 12: Whether Nova Scotia's Forest Is Comparable to Alberta's Forest
- Comment 13: Whether Timber Damage Assessment Survey Prices Are an Appropriate Benchmark for Alberta Crown-Origin Stumpage
- Comment 14: Whether Commerce Should Annualize Alberta Stumpage Purchase and Benchmark Prices
- Comment 15: Whether to Adjust the Nova Scotia Benchmark to Account for Beetle-Damaged and Fire-Damaged Timber Harvested in Alberta
- Comment 16: Whether Nova Scotia Is Comparable to Alberta in Terms of Haulage Costs and Whether to Otherwise Adjust the Nova Scotia Benchmark to Account for Such Differences
- Comment 17: Whether Commerce Should Make Adjustments to Stumpage Rates Paid by the Respondents to Account for "Total Remuneration" in Alberta and New Brunswick
- D. British Columbia (BC) Stumpage Issues
 - Comment 18: Whether Commerce Should Continue to Use Washington State Department of Revenue (WDOR) Data for a BC Stumpage Benchmark
 - Comment 19: Whether Commerce Should Make Adjustments to the WDOR Data
 - Comment 20: Whether to Change Commerce's Calculations Relating to Third Party Tenures
 - Comment 21: Whether to Account for BC's "Stand-as-a-Whole" Stumpage Pricing
 - Comment 22: Whether Commerce's Selection of a Log Volume Conversion Factor Was Appropriate
- E. New Brunswick Stumpage Issues
 - Comment 23: Whether the Private Stumpage Market in New Brunswick Is Distorted and Should Be Used as Tier-One Benchmarks
 - Comment 24: Whether Commerce Should Use JDIL's Own Purchases of Sawlogs in Nova Scotia or the 2021–2022 *Private Market Survey* as a Benchmark for New Brunswick Crown Stumpage
 - Comment 25: Whether Log Pricing Differences Between Nova Scotia and New Brunswick Require an Adjustment to the Nova Scotia Benchmark Utilized in JDIL's Stumpage Benefit Analysis
- F. Nova Scotia Stumpage Benchmark Issues
 - Comment 26: Whether to Revise the Conversion Factor Used in the Calculation of the Nova Scotia Benchmark
 - Comment 27: Whether Commerce Should Index the Nova Scotia Benchmark
 - Comment 28: Whether Commerce Should Publicly Disclose the Anonymized Data that Comprise the 2021–2022 *Private Market Survey*
 - Comment 29: Whether the Nova Scotia Benchmark Adequately Accounts for Regional and County-Level Differences
 - Comment 30: Reliability of Nova Scotia Private-Origin Standing Timber Benchmark
- G. Log Export Restraint (LER) Issues

- Comment 31: Whether the LER in British Columbia (BC) Results in a Financial Contribution
- Comment 32: Whether the LER Has an Impact in BC
- H. Purchase of Goods for More Than Adequate Remuneration Issues
- Comment 33: Whether Benefits Under the BC Hydro Electricity Purchase Agreements (EPA) Program Are Tied to Overall Production
- Comment 34: Whether Commerce Properly Calculated the Benefit Conferred Under the BC Hydro EPAs
- I. Grant Program Issues
- Federal*
- Comment 35: Whether the Sustainable Development Technology Canada Is Countervailable
- Comment 36: Whether the Forest Machines Connectivity Master Project Is *De Facto* Specific
- Comment 37: Whether the Green Jobs Program Is Countervailable
- Alberta*
- Comment 38: Whether the AESO Load Shedding Program Is Countervailable
- Comment 39: Whether the Technology Innovation and Emissions Reduction Program Is Countervailable
- British Columbia*
- Comment 40: Whether BC's Coloured Fuel Program Is Countervailable
- New Brunswick*
- Comment 41: Whether Commerce Should Continue to Find the Silviculture and License Management Programs Countervailable
- J. Tax and Other Revenue Forgone Programs Issues
- Federal*
- Comment 42: Whether the Accelerated Capital Cost Allowance (ACCA) for Class 53 Assets Program Is Specific
- Comment 43: Whether Commerce Is Applying the Correct Benchmark for the ACCA for Class 53 Assets Program
- Comment 44: Whether the Benefit Methodology for the ACCA Class 53 Assets Program Is Correct
- Comment 45: Whether the Capital Cost Allowance for Class 1 Assets Program Is Countervailable
- Comment 46: Whether the Federal and Provincial Research and Development (R&D) Tax Credits Are Specific
- Comment 47: Whether Attribution of the R&D Tax Credits Is Correct
- Comment 48: Whether the Federal Logging Tax Credit and Provincial Logging Tax Credit Are Countervailable
- Alberta*
- Comment 49: Whether the Tax Exempt Fuel Use Is Countervailable
- Comment 50: Whether the Property Tax Economic Obsolescence Allowance Is Countervailable
- Comment 51: Whether Tax Savings Under Alberta's Schedule D Are Countervailable
- British Columbia*
- Comment 52: Whether the CleanBC's Industrial Incentive Program Is Countervailable
- New Brunswick*
- Comment 53: Whether the Gasoline and Motive Fuel Tax (GMFT) Program Provides a Financial Contribution in the Form of Revenue Forgone or Can Be Found Specific
- Comment 54: Whether Commerce Should Revise the GMFT Benefit Calculation
- Comment 55: Whether Commerce Should Find New Brunswick's Property Tax Incentives for Private Forest Producers Program Countervailable
- Comment 56: Whether Commerce Should Find the Large Industrial Renewable Energy Purchase Program Countervailable
- K. Company-Specific Issues
- Comment 57: Whether Commerce Correctly Calculated the Benefit JDIL Received from the Atlantic Investment Tax Credit, Scientific Research and Experimental Development, and New Brunswick R&D Tax Credit Programs
- Comment 58: Whether Commerce Should Correct an Error in Tolko's BC Coloured Fuel Calculation
- Comment 59: Whether Commerce Should Correct Errors in West Fraser's BC Stumpage Calculation
- VIII. Recommendation
- Appendix II**
- Non-Selected Exporters/Producers**
- 1 0752615 B.C Ltd; Fraserview Remanufacturing Inc, DBA Fraserview Cedar Products
- 2 10104704 Manitoba Ltd O/A Woodstock Forest Products
- 3 1074712 BC Ltd. (Quadra Cedar)
- 4 5214875 Manitoba Ltd.; AM Lumber Brokerage
- 5 Absolute Lumber Products, Ltd.
- 6 Adwood Manufacturing Ltd.
- 7 AJ Forest Products Ltd.
- 8 Aler Forest Products, Ltd.
- 9 Alpa Lumber Mills Inc.
- 10 Andersen Pacific Forest Products Ltd.
- 11 Antrim Cedar Corporation
- 12 Aquila Cedar Products Ltd.
- 13 Arbec Lumber Inc. (aka Arbec Bois Doeuvre Inc.)
- 14 Aspen Pacific Industries Inc.
- 15 Aspen Planers Ltd.
- 16 B&L Forest Products Ltd.
- 17 B.B. Pallets Inc. (aka Les Palettes B.B. Inc.)
- 18 Babine Forest Products Limited
- 19 Bakerview Forest Products Inc.
- 20 Barrette-Chapais Ltee
- 21 BarretteWood Inc.
- 22 Benoit & Dionne Produits Forestiers Ltee (aka Benoit & Dionne Forest Products Ltd.)
- 23 Blanchet Multi Concept Inc.
- 24 Blanchette & Blanchette Inc.
- 25 Bois Aise de Montreal Inc.
- 26 Bois Bonsai Inc.
- 27 Bois D'oeuvre Cedrico Inc. (aka Cedrico Lumber Inc.)
- 28 Bois Daaquam inc. (aka Daaquam Lumber Inc.)
- 29 Bois et Solutions Marketing SPEC, Inc. (aka SPEC Wood & Marketing Solution or SPEC Wood and Marketing Solutions Inc.)
- 30 Bois Weedon Inc.
- 31 Boisaco Inc.
- 32 Boscus Canada Inc.
- 33 Boucher Bros. Lumber Ltd.
- 34 BPWood Ltd.
- 35 Bramwood Forest Inc.
- 36 Brink Forest Products Ltd.
- 37 Brunswick Valley Lumber Inc.
- 38 Busque & Laflamme Inc.
- 39 Canadian Bavarian Millwork & Lumber Ltd.
- 40 Canyon Lumber Company, Ltd.
- 41 Carrier & Begin Inc.
- 42 Carrier Forest Products Ltd.
- 43 Carrier Lumber Ltd.
- 44 Carter Forest Products Inc.
- 45 Cedarland Forest Products Ltd.
- 46 Cedarline Industries Ltd.
- 47 Central Alberta Pallet Supply
- 48 Central Cedar Ltd.
- 49 Centurion Lumber Ltd.
- 50 Chaleur Forest Products Inc.
- 51 Chaleur Forest Products LP
- 52 Channel-ex Trading Corporation
- 53 CHAP Alliance Inc.
- 54 Clair Industrial Development Corp. Ltd
- 55 Clermond Hamel Ltee
- 56 CLG Enterprises Inc.
- 57 CNH Products Inc.
- 58 Columbia River Shake & Shingle Ltd.; Teal Cedar Products Ltd., dba The Teal Jones Group
- 59 Commonwealth Plywood Co. Ltd.
- 60 Conifex Fibre Marketing Inc.
- 61 Coulson Manufacturing Ltd.
- 62 Cowichan Lumber Ltd.
- 63 CS Manufacturing Inc., dba Cedarshed
- 64 CWP Industriel Inc. (aka CWP—Industriel Inc.)
- 65 D & D Pallets Ltd.
- 66 Dakeryn Industries Ltd.
- 67 Decker Lake Forest Products Ltd.
- 68 Deep Cove Forest Products, Inc.
- 69 Delco Forest Products Ltd.
- 70 Delta Cedar Specialties Ltd.
- 71 Devon Lumber Co. Ltd.
- 72 DH Manufacturing Inc.
- 73 Doubletree Forest Products Ltd.
- 74 Downie Timber Ltd.
- 75 Dunkley Lumber Ltd.
- 76 EACOM Timber Corporation
- 77 East Fraser Fiber Co. Ltd.
- 78 Edgewood Forest Products Inc.
- 79 ER Probyn Export Ltd.
- 80 Falcon Lumber Ltd.
- 81 Fontaine Inc.; Gestion Natanis Inc.; Les Placements Jean-Paul Fontaine Ltee; Placements Nicolas Fontaine Inc.
- 82 Foothills Forest Products Inc.
- 83 Fort St. James Forest Products Limited Partnership
- 84 Fraser Specialty Products Ltd.
- 85 FraserWood Industries Ltd.
- 86 Furtado Forest Products Ltd.
- 87 Galloway Lumber Company Ltd.
- 88 Gilbert Smith Forest Products Ltd.
- 89 Goldwood Industries Ltd.
- 90 Goodfellow Inc.
- 91 Gorman Bros. Lumber Ltd.
- 92 Greendale Industries Inc.
- 93 GreenFirst Forest Products (QC) Inc.
- 94 Greenwell Resources Inc.
- 95 Griff Building Supplies Ltd.
- 96 Groupe Crete Chertsey Inc.
- 97 Groupe Crete Division St-Faustin Inc.
- 98 Groupe Label Inc.
- 99 H.J. Crabbe & Sons Ltd.
- 100 Haida Forest Products Ltd.
- 101 Halo Sawmill Manufacturing Limited Partnership
- 102 Hampton Tree Farms, LLC, dba Hampton Lumber Sales Canada
- 103 Hornepayne Lumber LP

104 Hudson Mitchell & Sons Lumber Inc.
 105 Hy Mark Wood Products Inc.
 106 Independent Building Materials Distribution Inc.
 107 Interfor Corporation
 108 Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd.
 109 Ivor Forest Products Ltd.
 110 J&G Log Works Ltd.
 111 J.H. Huscroft Ltd.
 112 Jan Woodlands (2001) Inc.
 113 Jasco Forest Products Ltd.
 114 Jazz Forest Products Ltd.
 115 Jhaji Lumber Corporation
 116 Kalesnikoff Lumber Co. Ltd.
 117 Kan Wood, Ltd.
 118 Kebois Ltee/Ltd
 119 Kelfor Industries Ltd.
 120 Kermod Forest Products Ltd.
 121 Keystone Timber Ltd.
 122 La Crete Sawmills Ltd.
 123 Lafontaine Lumber Inc.
 124 Langevin Forest Products Inc.
 125 Lecours Lumber Co. Limited
 126 Leisure Lumber Ltd.
 127 Les Bois d'oeuvre Beaudoin Gauthier Inc.
 128 Les Bois Martek Lumber
 129 Les Chantiers de Chibougamau Ltd./Ltee
 130 Les Industries P.F. Inc.
 131 Leslie Forest Products Ltd.
 132 Lignum Forest Products LLP
 133 Linwood Homes Ltd.
 134 Lonestar Lumber Inc.
 135 Lulumco Inc.
 136 Magnum Forest Products, Ltd.
 137 Maibec Inc.
 138 Manitou Forest Products Ltd
 139 Marwood Ltd.
 140 Matériaux Blanchet Inc.
 141 Metrie Canada Ltd.
 142 Mid Valley Lumber Specialties Ltd.
 143 Midway Lumber Mills Ltd.
 144 Mill & Timber Products Ltd.
 145 Millar Western Forest Products Ltd.
 146 Mirax Lumber Products Ltd.
 147 Mobilier Rustique (Beauce) Inc.; J.F.S.R. Inc.; Gestion C.A. Rancourt Inc.; Gestion J.F. Rancourt Inc.; Gestion Suzie Rancourt Inc.; Gestion P.H.Q. Inc.; 9331-3419 Quebec Inc.; 9331-3468 Quebec Inc.; SPQ Inc.
 148 Monterra Lumber Mills Limited
 149 Morwood Forest Products Inc.
 150 Multicedre ltee
 151 Murray Brothers Lumber Company Ltd
 152 Nakina Lumber Inc.
 153 National Forest Products Ltd.
 154 Nicholson and Cates Ltd.
 155 NorSask Forest Products Limited Partnership; NorSask Forest Products Inc.
 156 North American Forest Products Ltd. (located in Abbotsford, British Columbia)
 157 North Enderby Timber Ltd.
 158 Northland Forest Products Ltd.
 159 Oakwood Manufacturing, A Division of Weston Forest Products Inc.
 160 Olympic Industries, Inc.; Olympic Industries ULC¹²

161 Pacific NorthWest Lumber Ltd.
 162 Pacific Western Wood Works Ltd.
 163 PalletSource Inc.
 164 Parallel Wood Products Ltd.
 165 Partap Forest Products Ltd.
 166 Peak Industries (Cranbrook) Ltd.
 167 Phoenix Forest Products Inc.
 168 Pine Ideas Ltd.
 169 Pioneer Pallet & Lumber Ltd.
 170 Porcupine Wood Products Ltd.
 171 Power Wood Corp.
 172 Precision Cedar Products Corp.
 173 Produits Forestiers Petit Paris Inc.
 174 Produits Matra Inc.; Sechoirs de Beauce Inc.; Bois Ouvre de Beauceville (1992), Inc.
 175 Promobois G.D.S. Inc.
 176 R.A. Green Lumber Ltd.
 177 Rayonier A.M. Canada GP
 178 Rembos Inc.
 179 Rene Bernard inc.
 180 Resolute FP Canada Inc.; 9192-8515 Quebec Inc.; Abitibi-Bowater Canada Inc.; Bowater Canadian Ltd.; Produits Forestiers Maurice SEC.; Resolute Forest Products Inc.
 181 Rielly Industrial Lumber Inc.
 182 River City Remanufacturing Inc.
 183 S&R Sawmills Ltd.
 184 San Group
 185 San Industries Ltd.
 186 Sawarne Lumber Co. Ltd.
 187 Scierie St-Michel Inc.
 188 Scierie West Brome Inc.
 189 Scott Lumber Sales Ltd.
 190 Shakertown Corp.
 191 Sigurdson Forest Products Ltd.
 192 Sinclair Group Forest Products Ltd.
 193 Skana Forest Products Ltd.
 194 Skeena Sawmills Ltd.
 195 South Beach Trading Inc.
 196 South Coast Reman Ltd.
 197 Southcoast Millwork Ltd.
 198 Specialiste du Bardeau de Cedre Inc. (aka SBC)
 199 Spruceland Millworks Inc.
 200 Sunther Timber Products Inc.
 201 Surrey Cedar Ltd.
 202 Taan Forest Limited Partnership (aka Taan Forest Products)
 203 Taiga Building Products Ltd.
 204 Tall Tree Lumber Company
 205 Terminal Forest Products Ltd.
 206 TG Wood Products
 207 The Wood Source Inc.
 208 Top Quality Lumber Ltd.
 209 Trans-Pacific Trading Ltd.
 210 Triad Forest Products Ltd.
 211 Twin Rivers Paper Co. Inc.
 212 Tyee Timber Products Ltd.
 213 Universal Lumber Sales Ltd.
 214 Usine Sartigan Inc.
 215 Vaagen Fibre Canada, ULC
 216 Vancouver Specialty Cedar Products Ltd.
 217 Vanderhoof Specialty Wood Products Ltd.
 218 Vanderwell Contractors (1971) Ltd.
 219 Visscher Lumber Inc.
 220 W.I. Woodtone Industries Inc.
 221 West Bay Forest Products Ltd.
 222 West Coast Panel Cutters
 223 Western Forest Products Inc.
 224 Western Lumber Sales Limited
 225 Westminster Industries Ltd.
 226 Weston Forest Products Inc.
 227 Westrend Exteriors Inc.
 228 Weyerhaeuser Co.

229 White River Forest Products L.P.
 230 Woodline Forest Products Ltd.
 231 Woodstock Forest Products
 232 Woodtone Specialties Inc.

[FR Doc. 2024-18442 Filed 8-16-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-823, A-834-807, A-307-820]

Silicomanganese From India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela: Final Results of the Expedited Fourth Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of these expedited sunset reviews, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping (AD) duty orders on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable August 19, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacob Waddell, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1369.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2024, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the fourth sunset reviews of the *Orders*,¹ pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On May 15, 2024, Commerce received notices of intent to participate in the sunset reviews from Eramet Marietta, Inc. (Eramet), the domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ Eramet stated that it is an interested party under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a U.S. manufacturer, producer, or wholesaler of the domestic like product.⁴

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Orders: Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela*, 67 FR 36149 (May 23, 2002) (*Orders*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 89 FR 35073 (May 1, 2024).

³ See Domestic Interested Party's Letters, "Notice of Intent To Participate," dated January 9, 2024.

⁴ *Id.*

¹² On March 21, 2023, Olympic Industries, Inc. and Olympic Industries ULC (collectively, Olympic) notified Commerce that Olympic Industries Inc.-Reman Code, Olympic Industries ULC-Reman, and Olympic Industries ULC-Reman Code are no longer used by Olympic to export softwood lumber to the United States. See Olympic's Letter, "Response to Department of Commerce's Clarification Request," dated March 21, 2023.

submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function and entering either the title of the collection or the OMB Control Number 0625–0125.

Sheleen Dumas,

Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2024–18499 Filed 8–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–857]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Administrative Review, and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022.

DATES: Applicable August 19, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen and Maisha Cryor, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2769 and (202) 482–5831, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* on February 6, 2024.¹ This review covers 243 producers/exporters of subject merchandise, including two mandatory respondents, Canfor and West Fraser.² The final weighted-

¹ See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 89 FR 8156 (February 6, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² As described in the *Preliminary Results* PDM, we have treated West Fraser Mills Ltd., Blue Ridge Lumber Inc., Manning Forest Products Ltd., and Sundre Forest Products Inc. (collectively, West

average dumping margins are listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a detailed description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this review is softwood lumber from Canada. For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. The requests for an administrative review of the companies identified in Appendix III were timely withdrawn within 90 days of the publication of the initiation notice for this review.⁴ As a result, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to the companies in Appendix III in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).⁵

Additionally, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), Commerce will rescind an administrative review when there are no entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended. Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the review period.⁶ Therefore, for an administrative review of a company to be conducted, there must be a suspended entry that Commerce can instruct and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the antidumping duty assessment rate calculated for the POR.⁷

Fraser) and we have treated Canfor Corporation, Canadian Forest Products Ltd., and Canfor Wood Products Marketing Ltd., Canfor Fox Creek Ltd. and Canfor Whitecourt Ltd. (collectively, Canfor) as a single entities. See *Preliminary Results* PDM at 5–6.

³ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2022 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Petitioner’s Letter, “Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated May 17, 2023.

⁵ Appendix III identifies all companies on which we have rescinded this review.

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁷ See, e.g., *Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co. v. United States*, 380 F. Supp. 3d 1328, 1335–36 (CIT

In the *Preliminary Results*, we notified parties of our intent to rescind this administrative review, in part, with respect to Smartlam LLC because there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise produced or exported by these companies during the POR and invited interested parties to comment. No parties commented on our intent to rescind the review, in part. In the absence of any suspended entries of subject merchandise from Smartlam LLC during the POR, or any comment on this issue, we are rescinding the administrative review for Smartlam LLC, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs filed in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included in Appendix I of this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum is also accessible on the internet at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties, we rescinded this review with regard to 66 companies for which all review requests were withdrawn, and have rescinded the review of Smartlam LLC, as we stated was our intent in the *Preliminary Results*. In addition, we revised the names of certain

(2019), at 12 (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that: “While the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended”; see also *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 36102, and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that “for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate”).

⁸ Appendix III identifies all companies on which we have rescinded this review.

respondents as identified in the *Preliminary Results*, and made certain changes to the dumping margin calculations for Canfor and West Fraser. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Selected Companies

Generally, when calculating margins for non-selected respondents, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act for guidance, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that when calculating the all-others rate, Commerce will exclude any zero and *de*

minimis weighted-average dumping margins, as well as any weighted-average dumping margins based on total facts available. Accordingly, Commerce’s usual practice has been to average the margins for selected respondents, excluding margins that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.

In this review, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 10.44 percent for Canfor and 5.32 percent for West Fraser. In accordance with section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, Commerce assigned the weighted-average of these two calculated

weighted-average dumping margins to the non-selected companies in these final results, based on their publicly ranged sales data.⁹ Accordingly, we have applied a rate of 7.80 percent to the non-selected companies.¹⁰ A list of all non-selected companies is included in Appendix II.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this administrative review, we are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the manufacturers/exporters listed below for the POR, January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Canfor Corporation/Canadian Forest Products Ltd./Canfor Wood Products Marketing Ltd./Canfor Fox Creek Ltd./Canfor Whitecourt Ltd.	10.44
West Fraser Mills Ltd./Blue Ridge Lumber Inc./Manning Forest Products Ltd./Sundre Forest Products Inc.	5.32
Non-Selected Companies ¹¹	7.80

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

We intend to calculate importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer’s (or customer’s) examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific rate is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce’s “reseller policy” will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the

intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹²

The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise under review and for future cash deposits of estimated duties, where applicable. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin listed above in the “Final Results of Review” section; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previously completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the final results for the most recent period in which that producer or exporter

participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the final results for the most recent period in which that producer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or in any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be 6.04 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the less than fair value investigation.¹³ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount

⁹ See Memorandum, “Calculation of the Rate for Non-Selected Respondents,” dated concurrently with this notice. A list of the non-selected companies under review is included as Appendix II.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ See Appendix II of this notice for a list of the non-selected respondent companies.

¹² For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹³ See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 82 FR 51806 (November 8, 2017).

of antidumping duties by the amount of countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: August 12, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Used the Proper Market Price for Canfor's Wood Chip Sales
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Adjust the Reported Cost of Electricity at Canfor's Grand Prairie (PG) Sawmill
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Adjust the Reported Cost of Electricity at Canfor's Prince George Sawmill
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Properly Determined Canfor's General and Administrative (G&A) Expense Ratio
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Apply the Transactions Disregarded Provision to Canfor's Transactions With Affiliated Seed Suppliers
 - Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Include Restructuring Costs Associated with the Mackenzie Mill
 - Comment 7: Whether Commerce Should Include Devaluation Losses in Canfor's G&A Calculation
 - Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Deduct Countervailing Duties (CVD) from U.S. Price
 - Comment 9: Whether Commerce Should Rescind the Review of Companies for which all Review Requests Were Withdrawn

- Comment 10: Whether Commerce Should Revise the Names of Certain Respondents
- Comment 11: Whether Commerce Should Revise the Application of West Fraser's By-Product Offset
- Comment 12: Whether Commerce Should Adjust West Fraser's Log Prices
- Comment 13: Whether Commerce Should Adjust West Fraser's G&A Expenses for Inventory Valuation Loss
- Comment 14: Whether Commerce Double Counted Billing Adjustments
- Comment 15: Whether Commerce Correctly Applied Surrogate Costs
- Comment 16: Whether Commerce's Application of the Differential Pricing Analysis Is Contrary to Law and in Violation of the Assumptions Articulated in *Stupp*
- Comment 17: Whether West Fraser's Pricing Over the POR Was Inconsistent with the Targeted Dumping for Which Congress Authorized Commerce to Utilize the Average-to-Transaction (A-T) Method
- Comment 18: Whether Commerce Improperly Applied the A-T Methodology with Zeroing
- Comment 19: Whether Commerce Properly Applied its Differential Pricing Methodology to Address Targeted Dumping
- Comment 20: Whether Commerce's Use of Simple Average Standard Deviations in the Cohen's *d* Denominator Disregards Comparative Sizes of Test and Control Groups
- Comment 21: Whether Commerce's Methodology and Explanation for Calculating the Denominator of the *d* Coefficient Are Unreasonable
- Comment 22: Whether Commerce Erred in Finding a Pattern of U.S. Prices That Differ Significantly Among Purchasers, Regions, or Periods of Time
- Comment 23: Whether Commerce Failed to Consider Qualitative Factors in Determining Whether Prices Were Significant

VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Non-Selected Exporters/Producers

1. 0752615 B.C Ltd; Frasersview Remanufacturing Inc; Frasersview Cedar Products¹⁴
2. 10104704 Manitoba Ltd.; Woodstock Forest Products¹⁵
3. 1074712 BC Ltd.; Quadra Cedar
4. 5214875 Manitoba Ltd.
5. Absolute Lumber Products Ltd.
6. Adwood Manufacturing Ltd.
7. AJ Forest Products Ltd.
8. Aler Forest Products Ltd.

¹⁴ "DBA" has been removed from the name 0752615 B.C Ltd; Frasersview Remanufacturing Inc; DBA Frasersview Cedar Products referred to in the *Preliminary Results*, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

¹⁵ "O/A" has been removed from the name 10104704 Manitoba Ltd O/A Woodstock Forest Product referred to in the *Preliminary Results*, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

9. Alpa Lumber Mills Inc.
10. Andersen Pacific Forest Products Ltd.
11. Antrim Cedar Corporation
12. Aquila Cedar Products Ltd.
13. Arbec Lumber Inc.; Arbec Bois Doeuvre Inc.
14. Aspen Pacific Industries Inc.
15. Aspen Planers Ltd.
16. B&L Forest Products Ltd.
17. B.B. Pallets Inc.; Les Palettes B.B.Inc.
18. Babine Forest Products Limited
19. Bakerview Forest Products Inc.
20. Barrette-Chapais Ltee
21. BarretteWood Inc.
22. Benoît & Dionne Produits Forestiers Ltee; Benoît & Dionne Forest Products Ltd.
23. Blanchet Multi Concept Inc.
24. Blanchette & Blanchette Inc.
25. Bois Aise de Montreal Inc.
26. Bois Bonsaï Inc.
27. Bois Daaquam Inc.; Daaquam Lumber Inc.
28. Bois D'oeuvre Cedrico Inc.; Cedrico Lumber Inc.
29. Bois et Solutions Marketing SPEC, Inc.; SPEC Wood & Marketing Solution; SPEC Wood and Marketing Solutions Inc.
30. Bois Weedon Inc.
31. Boisaco Inc.
32. Boscus Canada Inc.
33. Boucher Bros. Lumber Ltd.
34. BPWood Ltd.
35. Bramwood Forest Inc.
36. Brink Forest Products Ltd.
37. Brunswick Valley Lumber Inc.
38. Burrows Lumber (CD) Ltd., Theo A. Burrows Lumber Company Limited
39. Busque & Laflamme Inc.
40. Canadian Bavarian Millwork & Lumber Ltd.
41. Canyon Lumber Company Ltd.
42. Carrier & Begin Inc.
43. Carrier Forest Products Ltd.
44. Carrier Lumber Ltd.
45. Carter Forest Products Inc.
46. Cedarland Forest Products Ltd.
47. Cedarline Industries Ltd.
48. Central Alberta Pallet Supply
49. Central Cedar Ltd.
50. Central Forest Products Inc.
51. Centurion Lumber Ltd.
52. Chaleur Forest Products Inc.
53. Chaleur Forest Products LP
54. Channel-ex Trading Corporation
55. Clair Industrial Development Corp. Ltd.
56. Clermond Hamel Ltee
57. CLG Enterprises Inc.
58. Columbia River Shake & Shingle Ltd.; Teal Cedar Products Ltd.;¹⁶ The Teal Jones Group¹⁷
59. Commonwealth Plywood Co. Ltd.
60. Conifex Fibre Marketing Inc.
61. Coulson Manufacturing Ltd.

¹⁶ "DBA" has been removed from the name Columbia River Shake & Shingle Ltd./Teal Cedar Products Ltd., DBA the Teal Jones Group referred to in the *Preliminary Results* at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

¹⁷ Because we are now treating the three companies as one entity for customs purposes, we have removed from the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) module case number individual company case numbers for Teal Cedar Products Ltd. (A-122-857-224) and The Teal Jones Group (A-122-857-227) and have instructed CBP to enter all entries for all three companies under the ACE module case number A-122-857-349.

62. Cowichan Lumber Ltd.
63. CS Manufacturing Inc.; Cedarshed¹⁸
64. CWP—Industriel Inc.
65. D & D Pallets Ltd.
66. Dakeryn Industries Ltd.
67. Decker Lake Forest Products Ltd.
68. Deep Cove Forest Products, Inc.
69. Delco Forest Products Ltd.
70. Delta Cedar Specialties Ltd.
71. Devon Lumber Co. Ltd.
72. Doubletree Forest Products Ltd.
73. Downie Timber Ltd.
74. Dunkley Lumber Ltd.
75. EACOM Timber Corporation
76. East Fraser Fiber Co. Ltd.
77. Edgewood Forest Products Inc.
78. ER Probyn Export Ltd.
79. Falcon Lumber Ltd.
80. Fontaine Inc.
81. Foothills Forest Products Inc.
82. Forest Products Mauricie LP; Societe en commandite Scierie Opitciwan; Resolute Growth Canada Inc.; Resolute FP Canada Inc.; Resolute-LP Engineered Wood Larouche Inc.; Resolute-LP Engineered Wood St-Prime Limited Partnership
83. Fort St. James Forest Products Limited Partnership
84. Fraser Specialty Products Ltd.
85. FraserWood Industries Ltd.
86. Furtado Forest Products Ltd.
87. Galloway Lumber Company Ltd.
88. Goldwood Industries Ltd.
89. Goodfellow Inc.
90. Gorman Bros. Lumber Ltd.
91. Greendale Industries Inc.
92. GreenFirst Forest Products (QC) Inc.
93. Greenwell Resources Inc.
94. Griff Building Supplies Ltd.
95. Groupe Crete Chertsey Inc.
96. Groupe Crete Division St-Faustin Inc.
97. Groupe Lebel Inc.
98. H.J. Crabbe & Sons Ltd.
99. Haida Forest Products Ltd.
100. Halo Sawmill, a division of Delta Cedar Specialties Ltd.; Halo Sawmill Manufacturing Limited Partnership
101. Hampton Tree Farms, LLC; Hampton Lumber Sales Canada¹⁹
102. Hornepayne Lumber LP
103. Hy Mark Wood Products Inc.
104. Independent Building Materials Distribution Inc.
105. Interfor Corporation; Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd.²⁰
106. Ivor Forest Products Ltd.
107. J&G Log Works Ltd.
108. J.D. Irving, Limited
109. J.H. Huscroft Ltd.
110. Jan Woodlands (2001) Inc.
111. Jasco Forest Products Ltd.
112. Jazz Forest Products Ltd.
113. Jhaji Lumber Corporation
114. Kalesnikoff Lumber Co. Ltd.
115. Kan Wood Ltd.
116. Kebois Ltee; Kebois Ltd.
117. Kelfor Industries Ltd.
118. Kermod Forest Products Ltd.
119. Keystone Timber Ltd.
120. La Crete Sawmills Ltd.
121. Lafontaine Lumber Inc.
122. Langevin Forest Products Inc.
123. Lecours Lumber Co. Limited
124. Leisure Lumber Ltd.
125. Les Bois d'oeuvre Beaudoin Gauthier Inc.
126. Les Bois Martek Lumber
127. Les Chantiers de Chibougamau Ltee; Les Chantiers de Chibougamau Ltd.
128. Les Industries P.F. Inc.
129. Les Produits Forestiers D&G Ltee; D&G Forest Products Ltd.
130. Leslie Forest Products Ltd.
131. Lignum Forest Products LLP
132. Linwood Homes Ltd.
133. Lonestar Lumber Inc.
134. Lulumco Inc.
135. Madera Forest Products INC
136. Magnum Forest Products Ltd.
137. Maibec Inc.
138. Manitou Forest Products Ltd.
139. Marcel Lauzon Inc.
140. Marwood Ltd.
141. Matériaux Blanchet Inc.
142. Mid Valley Lumber Specialties Ltd.
143. Midway Lumber Mills Ltd.
144. Mill & Timber Products Ltd.
145. Millar Western Forest Products Ltd.
146. Mirax Lumber Products Ltd.
147. Mobilier Rustique (Beauce) Inc.
148. Monterra Lumber Mills Limited
149. Morwood Forest Products Inc.
150. Multicedre Ltee
151. Murray Brothers Lumber Company Ltd.
152. Nakina Lumber Inc.
153. National Forest Products Ltd.
154. Nicholson and Cates Ltd.
155. Norsask Forest Products Inc.
156. Norsask Forest Products Limited Partnership
157. North American Forest Products Ltd. (located in Abbotsford, British Columbia)
158. North American Forest Products Ltd. (located in Saint-Quentin, New Brunswick)
159. North Enderby Timber Ltd.
160. Northland Forest Products Ltd.
161. Oakwood Manufacturing A Division of Weston Forest Products Inc.
162. Olympic Industries Inc.
163. Olympic Industries ULC
164. Oregon Canadian Forest Products; Oregon Canadian Forest Products Inc.
165. Pacific NorthWest Lumber Ltd.
166. Pacific Western Wood Works Ltd.
167. PalletSource Inc.
168. Parallel Wood Products Ltd.
169. Partap Forest Products Ltd.
170. Partap Industries
171. Peak Industries (Cranbrook) Ltd.
172. Phoenix Forest Products Inc.
173. Pine Ideas Ltd.
174. Pioneer Pallet & Lumber Ltd.
175. Porcupine Wood Products Ltd.
176. Portbec Forest Products Ltd.; Les Produits Forestiers Portbec Ltee
177. Power Wood Corp.
178. Precision Cedar Products Corp.
179. Produits Forestiers Petit Paris Inc.
180. Produits Matra Inc.
181. Promobois G.D.S. Inc.
182. R.A. Green Lumber Ltd.
183. Rembos Inc.
184. Rene Bernard Inc.
185. Rielly Industrial Lumber Inc.
186. River City Remanufacturing Inc.
187. S&R Sawmills Ltd.
188. San Group
189. San Industries Ltd.
190. Sawarne Lumber Co. Ltd.
191. Scierie Alexandre Lemay & Fils Inc.
192. Scierie St-Michel Inc.
193. Scierie West Brome Inc.
194. Scott Lumber Sales; Scott Lumber Sales Ltd.
195. Sechoirs de Beauce Inc.
196. Shakertown Corp.
197. Sigurdson Forest Products Ltd.
198. Silvaris Corporation
199. Sinclair Group Forest Products Ltd.
200. Skana Forest Products Ltd.
201. Skeena Sawmills Ltd.
202. Smart Wood Forest Products Ltd.
203. South Beach Trading Inc.
204. Spécialiste du Bardeau de Cedre Inc.; Spécialiste du Bardeau de Cedre Inc. (SBC)
205. Spruceland Millworks Inc.
206. Suncoast Industries Inc.
207. Sundher Timber Products Inc.
208. Surrey Cedar Ltd.
209. T.G. Wood Products Ltd.²¹
210. Taan Forest Limited Partnership; Taan Forest Products²²
211. Taiga Building Products Ltd.
212. Tall Tree Lumber Company
213. Tenryu Canada Corporation
214. Terminal Forest Products Ltd.
215. The Wood Source Inc.
216. Tolko Industries Ltd.; Tolko Marketing and Sales Ltd.; Gilbert Smith Forest Products Ltd.
217. Top Quality Lumber Ltd.
218. Trans-Pacific Trading Ltd.

¹⁸“dba” has been removed from the name CS Manufacturing Inc. (dba Cedarshed) referred to in the *Preliminary Results* at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

¹⁹“dba” has been removed from the name Hampton Tree Farms, LLC (dba Hampton Lumber Sales Canada) referred to in the *Preliminary Results* at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

²⁰In *Softwood Lumber from Canada AR4*, we determined that it was appropriate to treat Interfor Corporation and Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd. as one entity. See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021*, 88 FR 50106 (August 1, 2023) (*Softwood Lumber from Canada AR4*), at Appendix II. Thus, we have removed from the ACE module case number for Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd. (A-122-857-299) and have instructed CBP to enter all entries for Interfor Corporation and Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd. under the ACE module case number

for Interfor Corporation and Interfor Sales & Marketing Ltd. (A-122-857-118)

²¹The ACE module contains separate case numbers for T.G. Wood Products Ltd. (A-122-857-220) and TG Wood Products (A-122-857-360), which was caused by data entry errors and we have never treated T.G. Wood Products and TG Wood Products as separate companies; rather we named T.G. Wood Products Ltd. as the sole respondent in the first and second administrative reviews of this proceeding and TG Wood Products. See *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017-2018*, 85 FR 76519 (November 30, 2020); *Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019*, 86 FR 68471 (December 2, 2021); and *Softwood Lumber from Canada AR4*. We have removed TG Wood Products (A-122-857-360) from the module and instructed CBP to enter all entries under the case number A-122-857-220.

²²“aka” has been removed from the name Taan Forest Limited Partnership (aka Taan Forest Products) referred to in at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

219. Triad Forest Products Ltd.
220. Twin Rivers Paper Co. Inc.
221. Tye Timber Products Ltd.
222. Universal Lumber Sales Ltd.
223. Usine Sartigan Inc.
224. Vaagen Fibre Canada ULC
225. Vancouver Specialty Cedar Products Ltd.
226. Vanderhoof Specialty Wood Products Ltd.
227. Vanderwell Contractors (1971) Ltd.
228. Visscher Lumber Inc.
229. W.I. Woodtone Industries Inc.
230. Waldun Forest Product Sales Ltd.
231. West Bay Forest Products Ltd.
232. West Coast Panel Cutters
233. Western Forest Products Inc.
234. Western Lumber Sales Limited
235. Westminster Industries Ltd.
236. Weston Forest Products Inc.
237. Westrend Exteriors Inc
238. Weyerhaeuser Co.
239. White River Forest Products L.P.
240. Woodline Forest Products Ltd.
241. Woodtone Specialties Inc.

Appendix III

List of Companies Rescinded From the Review

1. 54 Reman
2. 9224–5737 Quebec Inc.; A.G. Bois²³
3. AA Trading Ltd.
4. All American Forest Products Inc.
5. Anglo American Cedar Products Ltd.; Anglo-American Cedar Products Ltd.
6. Bardobec Inc.
7. Best Quality Cedar Products Ltd.
8. Campbell River Shake & Shingle Co. Ltd.
9. Canada Pallet Corp.
10. Canasia Forest Industries Ltd.
11. Careau Bois inc.
12. CarlWood Lumber Ltd.²⁴
13. Cedar Island Forest Products Ltd.
14. Cedar Valley Holdings Ltd.
15. Cedarcoast Lumber Products
16. CHAP Alliance, Inc.
17. CNH Products Inc.
18. Coast Clear Wood Ltd.
19. Coast Mountain Cedar Products Ltd.
20. Comox Valley Shakes Ltd. (2019); Comox Valley Shakes (2019) Ltd.²⁵
21. CWP—Montreal Inc.
22. DH Manufacturing Inc.
23. Direct Cedar Supplies Ltd.
24. Distribution Rioux Inc.
25. Elrod Cartage Ltd.
26. Glandell Enterprises Inc.
27. Goldband Shake & Shingle Ltd.
28. GreenFirst Forest Products Inc.
29. Groupe Lignarex Inc.
30. Hudson Mitchell & Sons Lumber Inc.
31. Imperial Cedar Products Ltd.

²³ “aka” has been removed from the name 224–5737 Quebec Inc. (aka A.G. Bois) referred to in the Preliminary Results, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

²⁴ CarlWood Lumber Ltd. is referred to in the ACE module as Carl Wood Lumber Ltd.

²⁵ “AKA” has been removed from the name Comox Valley Shakes Ltd. (2019); AKA Comox Valley Shakes (2019) Ltd. referred to in the Preliminary Results, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

32. Intertran Holdings Ltd.; Richmond Terminal²⁶
33. Island Cedar Products Ltd.
34. Les Bardeaux Lajoie Inc.
35. Les Bois Traités M.G. Inc.
36. Les Produits Forestiers Sitka Inc.; Sitka Forest Products Inc.²⁷
37. Lumber Assets Holding LP
38. Mainland Sawmill, a division of Terminal Forest Products
39. Metrie Canada Ltd.
40. Modern Terminal Ltd.
41. Nagaard Sawmill Ltd.
42. Nickel Lake Lumber
43. NSC Lumber Ltd.
44. Pacific Coast Cedar Products Ltd.
45. Pacific Lumber Remanufacturing Inc.
46. Pacific Pallet Ltd.
47. Pat Power Forest Products Corporation
48. Rick Dubois
49. S&W Forest Products Ltd.
50. Sapphire Lumber Company
51. Smartlam LLC
52. Sonora Logging Ltd.
53. Source Forest Products
54. South Coast Reman Ltd.; Southcoast Millwork Ltd.
55. South Fraser Container Terminals
56. Star Lumber Canada Ltd.
57. Suncoah Custom Lumber Ltd.
58. Surplus G Rioux
59. Swiftwood Forest Products Ltd.
60. T&P Trucking Ltd.
61. Temrex Forest Products LP; Produits Forestiers Temrex SEC.
62. Valley Cedar 2 Inc.
63. Watkins Sawmills Ltd.
64. Western Timber Products, Inc.
65. Winton Homes Ltd.
66. Woodstock Forest Products
67. WWW Timber Products Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2024–18443 Filed 8–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022; Correction

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

²⁶ “dba” has been removed from the name Intertran Holdings Ltd. (dba Richmond Terminal) referred to in the Preliminary Results at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

²⁷ “aka” has been removed from the name Les Produits Forestiers Sitka Inc. (aka Sitka Forest Products Inc.) referred to in the Preliminary Results, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) published in the **Federal Register** of July 5, 2024, notice of the final results of the 2021–2022 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People’s Republic of China (China). In that notice, Commerce incorrectly identified the companies which it found were not entitled to a separate rate.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dakota Potts or Paola Aleman Ordaz, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0223 and (202) 482–4031, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 5, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** notice of the final results of the 2021–2022 administrative review of the AD order on solar cells from China.¹ In that notice, Commerce incorrectly identified the companies which it found were not entitled to a separate rate. Commerce preliminarily found that only four companies did not qualify for a separate rate.² Commerce did not change that determination in the final results of the review. Yet, in the final results of review **Federal Register** notice, Commerce inadvertently stated that: {i}n the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce found that 35 companies for which a review was initiated did not establish their eligibility for a separate rate. No parties contested this finding (see discussion regarding the Yingli single entity below). As such, we continue to determine these 35 companies identified in Appendix III are part of the China-wide entity.³

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce did not determine that the 31 companies that it incorrectly added to Appendix III

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 89 FR 55562 (July 5, 2024) (*Final Results*).

² See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 89 FR 457, 458–59 (January 4, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

³ See *Final Results*, 89 FR at 55563.